# intel

## Intel386<sup>™</sup> DX MICROPROCESSOR SPECIFICATION UPDATE

Release Date: July, 1996 Order Number 272874-001

The Intel386<sup>™</sup> DX Microprocessor may contain design defects or errors known as errata. Characterized errata that may cause the Intel386<sup>™</sup> DX Microprocessor's behavior to deviate from published specifications are documented in this specification update. Information in this document is provided in connection with Intel products. No license, express or implied, by estoppel or otherwise, to any intellectual property rights is granted by this document. Except as provided in Intel's Terms and Conditions of Sale for such products, Intel assumes no liability whatsoever, and Intel disclaims any express or implied warranty, relating to sale and/or use of Intel products including liability or warranties relating to fitness for a particular purpose, merchantability, or infringement of any patent, copyright or other intellectual property right. Intel products are not intended for use in medical, life saving, or life sustaining applications.

Intel may make changes to specifications and product descriptions at any time, without notice.

The Intel386<sup>™</sup>DX Microprocessor may contain design defects or errors known as errata. Current characterized errata are available on request.

Contact your local Intel sales office or your distributor to obtain the latest specifications before placing your product order.

\* Third-party brands and names are the property of their respective owners.

Copies of documents which have an ordering number and are referenced in this document, or other Intel literature, may be obtained from:

Intel Corporation P.O. Box 7641 Mt. Prospect, IL 60056-7641

or call in North America 1-800-879-4683, Europe 44-0-1793-431-155, France 44-0-1793-421-777,

Germany 44-0-1793-421-333 other Countries 708-296-9333

Copyright © 1996, Intel Corporation

ii

July, 1996



iii

#### CONTENTS

REVISION HISTORY	1
PREFACE	2
SUMMARY TABLE OF CHANGES	4
IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION	6
ERRATA	7
SPECIFICATION CHANGES	10
SPECIFICATION CLARIFICATIONS	12
DOCUMENTATION CHANGES	16

272874-001

July, 1996



#### **REVISION HISTORY**

This document applies to the F-step of the dynamic Intel386<sup>™</sup> DX Processor. The errata and information that has changed in this technical note since the last revision are outlined below:

Date of Revision	Version	Description	
07/01/96	001	This is the new Specification Update document. It contains all identified errata published prior to this date.	
07/29/92	1.00	Specification Changes:	
		Move from 16-bit Segment/System Register to 32-bit Destination	
		<ul> <li>IDIV instruction interrupt0 due to overflow</li> </ul>	
		Clearing prefetch queue when transitioning into paging	
		Errata:	
		TSS Limit Check	
		POPA/POPAD Instruction Malfunction	
		Fault During Task Switch with Single Step Enabled	
		<ul> <li>Incorrect Exception by a Page Faulting LOCKed Instruction</li> </ul>	
		Specification Clarifications:	
		Read cycles require valid data bus levels	
		Use of ESP as a base register with CALL, PUSH, and POP	
		Instructions	
		Use of code breaks to debug 86/286 Operating Systems	
		Use of ESP in 16-bit Code with 32-bit Interrupt Handlers	
		Debug breakpoints	
		Breakpoint exceptions	

272874-001

July, 1996



#### PREFACE

As of July, 1996, Intel's Semiconductor Products Group has consolidated available historical device and documentation errata into this new document type called the Specification Update. We have endeavored to include all documented errata in the consolidation process, however, we make no representations or warranties concerning the completeness of the Specification Update.

This document is an update to the specifications contained in the Affected Documents/Related Documents table below. This is the first release of the Intel386<sup>™</sup> DX Processor Specification Update. This document is a compilation of device and documentation errata, specification clarifications and changes. It is intended for hardware system manufacturers and software developers of applications, operating systems, or tools.

Information types defined in Nomenclature are consolidated into the specification update and are no longer published in other documents.

This document may also contain additional information that was not previously published.

#### Affected Documents/Related Documents

Title	Order
Intel386 <sup>TM</sup> DX Microprocessor datasheet	241267-001

#### Nomenclature

**Errata** are design defects or errors. These may cause the published (component, board, system) behavior to deviate from published specifications. Hardware and software designed to be used with any component, board, and system must consider all errata documented.

**Specification Changes** are modifications to the current published specifications. These changes will be incorporated in any new release of the specification.

**Specification Clarifications** describe a specification in greater detail or further highlight a specification's impact to a complex design situation. These clarifications will be incorporated in any new release of the specification.

July, 1996



**Documentation Changes** include typos, errors, or omissions from the current published specifications. These changes will be incorporated in any new release of the specification.

#### NOTE:

Errata remain in the specification update throughout the product's lifecycle, or until a particular stepping is no longer commercially available. Under these circumstances, errata removed from the specification update are archived and available upon request. Specification changes, specification clarifications and documentation changes are removed from the specification update when the appropriate changes are made to the appropriate product specification or user documentation (datasheets, manuals, etc.).

272874-001

July, 1996



#### SUMMARY TABLE OF CHANGES

The following table indicates the errata, specification changes, specification clarifications, or documentation changes which apply to the Intel386<sup>™</sup> DX Processor. Intel may fix some of the errata in a future stepping of the component, and account for the other outstanding issues through documentation or specification changes as noted. This table uses the following notations:

#### Codes Used in Summary Table

<u>Steps</u>	
X:	Errata exists in the stepping indicated. Specification Change or Clarification that applies to this stepping.
(No mark)	
or (Blank box):	This erratum is fixed in listed stepping or specification change does not apply to listed stepping.
<u>Page</u>	
(Page):	Page location of item in this document.
<u>Status</u>	
Doc:	Document change or update will be implemented.
Fix:	This erratum is intended to be fixed in a future step of the component.
Fixed:	This erratum has been previously fixed.
NoFix:	There are no plans to fix this erratum.
Eval:	Plans to fix this erratum are under evaluation.
<u>Row</u>	
Ι	Change bar to left of table row indicates this erratum is either new or modified from the previous version of the document.

4 of 16

July, 1996



#### Errata

No.	Steppings		Page	Status	ERRATA	
	F0					
9600001	Х			7	NoFix	TSS Limit Check
9600002	Х			7	NoFix	POPA/POPAD Instruction Malfunction
9600003	Х			8	NoFix	Fault During Task Switch With Single Step Enabled
9600004	Х			9	NoFix	Incorrect Exception By A Page Faulting Locked Instruction

#### Specification Changes

No.	St	eppings	Page	Status	SPECIFICATION CHANGES
	F0				
001	Х		10		Move From 16-Bit Segment/System Register To 32-Bit Destination
002	Х		10		IDIV Instruction Interrupt0 Due To Overflow
003	Х		11		Clearing Prefetch Queue When Transitioning Into Paging

#### Specification Clarifications

No.	St	epping	s	Page	Status	SPECIFICATION CLARIFICATIONS
	F0					
001	Х			12		Read Cycles Require Valid Data Bus Levels
002	х			13		Use Of ESP As A Base Register With CALL, PUSH, And POP Instructions
003	Х			13		Use Of Code Breaks To Debug 86/286 Operating Systems
004	Х			14		Use Of ESP In 16-Bit Code With 32-Bit Interrupt Handlers
005	Х			14		Debug Breakpoints
006	Х			16		Breakpoint Exceptions

#### **Documentation Changes**

No.	<b>Document Revision</b>	Page	Status	DOCUMENTATION CHANGES
				None for this revision of this specification update.

272874-001

July, 1996



#### **IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION**

#### Markings

The F0 part is identified with the following marks:



#### i386 DX CPU-F0 (CHMOS IV)

Legend

MHz	S-Spec#	S-Spec#
16	S X6894	S X689
20	S X6904	S X690
25	S X6914	S X691
25	S X6914	S X692

July, 1996



#### ERRATA

#### 9600001. TSS Limit Check

**PROBLEM:** The TSS limit is checked to determine if the segment being set aside is large enough. If the TSS limit is not large enough, an exception #10 is generated to indicate the error. The current microcode generates the exception for limits less than 101 bytes. This exception should be generated for limits less than 103 bytes. By not generating exception #10 for TSS limits of 101 and 102 bytes, the microcode allows task state segments that do not contain the I/O Protection Bit Map Offset.

**IMPLICATION:** The omission of the I/O Protection Bit Map Offset leads to a fault whenever an attempt is made to access the I/O Protection Bit Map Offset. These accesses occur in systems running protected mode and attempting to perform task switches.

**WORKAROUND:** The problem is avoided by verifying that a task state segment is generated with a minimum limit of 103 (67H) bytes. A task state segment of this size is large enough to contain all of the required fields.

**STATUS:** Refer to Summary Table of Changes to determine the affected stepping(s).

#### 9600002. POPA/POPAD Instruction Malfunction

**PROBLEM:** Under certain conditions when the POPA or POPAD instruction is used, the Intel386 DX CPU executes improperly. The Intel386 DX CPU inadvertently corrupts the EAX register when either the POPA or POPAD instruction is immediately followed by an instruction that uses a base address register AND an additional register other than EAX or AX as an index register to form its effective address.

The following sample code is an example of the problem:

MOV EDX,4 POPAD MOV EBX, dword ptr [EDX + EBX\*4]

Additionally, whenever a POPA (16-bit version) instruction is immediately followed by an instruction which uses the EAX (32-bit register) as a base OR index register to form its effective address, the Intel386<sup>™</sup> DX Processor will hang.

272874-001

July, 1996



The following sample code is an example of the problem:

MOV EAX,4 POPA MOV EBX, dword ptr [EAX]

**IMPLICATION:** Depending on the above conditions, the EAX register will contain an undefined value or the processor will stop execution. Proper operation of the processor cannot be guaranteed after this sequence is executed until a hardware reset occurs. This sequence of instructions can occur in the Real, Protected and Virtual 86 modes of the Intel386 DX CPU.

**WORKAROUND:** Never execute the described instruction sequences. A workaround which has proven to be successful in all cases is to insert a NOP instruction after every POPA(D) instruction. Examples are shown below:

EXAMPLE 1	EXAMPLE 2
MOV EDX,4	MOV EAX,4
POPAD	POPA
NOP	NOP
MOV EBX, dword ptr [EDX + EBX*4]	MOV EBX, dword ptr [EAX]

**STATUS:** Refer to Summary Table of Changes to determine the affected stepping(s).

#### 9600003. Fault During Task Switch with Single Step Enabled

**PROBLEM:** If a fault occurs during a task switch and if single step is enabled (TF=1) the potential exists for the new task to be entered with single step enabled. This will only happen if the following three conditions are met:

1) The TF (single step) flag is set to 1 when the task switch occurs.

2) A fault is detected while the processor is saving the state of the current task.

3) A task gate is used to handle the fault.

**IMPLICATION:** The problem only occurs during the debug phase of code generation. Application code is not affected since single step would not be enabled while executing code. Also, the only faults possible during the problem window are exception 10 and exception 14. Exception 10 will only be encountered during this window if the TSS limit is altered *after* the task was entered. Exception 14 will only be encountered if the current page is marked "Not Present." Neither of these procedures is recommended programming practice.

8 of 16

July, 1996



**WORKAROUND:** Eliminate one of the necessary conditions. The easiest is to follow recommended programming techniques. Do not alter the TSS limit of the current task and do not mark the current page "Not Present."

**STATUS:** Refer to Summary Table of Changes to determine the affected stepping(s).

#### 9600004. Incorrect Exception by a Page Faulting LOCKed Instruction

**PROBLEM:** When a LOCKed instruction crosses a page boundary and the next page is marked "Not Present," a page fault should be generated (exception 14), but instead the CPU may generate an invalid opcode violation (exception 6). This only occurs if the page boundary falls between any two bytes of the entire LOCKed instruction.

**IMPLICATION:** The only effect this problem has on the system is that it may generate the wrong exception if above conditions are met. This behavior is expected more on multi-processing and in multi-thread code where use of LOCK instruction is common.

On sensing the fault, the CPU saves the CS and EIP values before transferring control to the handler. As faults are fully restartable, the faulting program can be resumed after "fixing" the cause of the exception.

**WORKAROUND:** There are at least two ways to avoid this problem.

1) Rewrite the exception 6 handler to check for the following conditions:

- a) Did any part of the instruction (prefix, opcode, operand) cross a page boundary?
- b) Does the instruction have a LOCK prefix?
- c) Is the next page where the fault occurred marked not present?

If these conditions are met, the modified exception 6 handler can invoke a page fault handler to bring in the faulting page, and simply restart the instruction by executing an IRET instruction.

2) Avoid putting a LOCKed instruction on a page boundary with the next page being not

present.

**STATUS:** Refer to Summary Table of Changes to determine the affected stepping(s).

272874-001

July, 1996



#### SPECIFICATION CHANGES

#### 001. Move from 16-bit Segment/System Register to 32-bit Destination

**ISSUE:** This clarifies how certain instructions (which imply a 16-bit operand size) behave with various operands and operand sizes. These instructions are: MOV r/ml6,Sreg; STR r/ml6; SLDT r/ml6; and SMSW r/ml6. When a 32-bit operand size is selected, and the destination is a register, the 16-bit source operand is copied into the lower 16 bits of the destination register, and the upper 16 bits of the destination register are undefined. With a 16-bit operand size and a register operand, only the lower 16 bits of the destination register are affected (the upper 16 bits remain unchanged). With a memory operand, the source is written to memory as a 16-bit quantity, regardless of operand size. Thus, 32-bit software should always treat the destination as 16-bits, and mask bits 16-31 if necessary.

#### 002. IDIV Instruction Interrupt0 Due To Overflow

**ISSUE:** The IDIV instruction operands need to be sign-extended to avoid incurring an overflow condition (interrupt0 exception).

An extremely limited subset of IDIV calculations may not properly generate an overflow interrupt0 exception subsequent to the actual occurrence of an overflow calculation. This inhibited interrupt0 exception condition results in an incorrect IDIV quotient calculation. Fortran and C, however require that arithmetic operations be performed on operands of equal length; compilers thus already either extend the shorter operand or extend both operands to a common larger size. To accommodate both positive and negative numbers, compilers establish this equal length by performing sign-extension. The IDIV instruction does not incur the overflow condition when the described sign-extension is conducted. Direct assembly language programming should also perform sign-extension prior to executing IDIV to preclude the occurrence of the overflow condition, sign-extension of the divisor is used to prevent the overflow condition, sign-extension of the dividend is used to satisfy the IDIV instruction format). The Intel386<sup>TM</sup> CPU instruction set incorporates several commands to facilitate sign-extension. The CBW, CWD(CWDE), and CDQ instructions are often utilized to automatically sign-extend byte, word, and double-word data.

10 of 16

July, 1996



#### 003. Clearing Prefetch Queue When Transitioning Into Paging

**ISSUE:** After setting the PG (paging enable) bit in CR0, a short, aligned jmp instruction should be executed to clear the prefetch queue. If this is not done, a queue corruption problem may occur. However, if the addresses before and after enabling paging are the same, the short, aligned jmp instruction is unnecessary.

272874-001

July, 1996



#### SPECIFICATION CLARIFICATIONS

#### 001. Read Cycles Require Valid Data Bus Levels

**ISSUE:** The Intel386 DX CPU requires that all data bus pins be at a valid logic state (high or low) at the end of each read cycle, when READY# is asserted. The system MUST be designed to meet this requirement. Therefore, do NOT allow any data lines to be floating when the read cycle completes. This requirement applies to the following bus cycles: Memory Data Read, Memory Code Read, I/O Data Read, and Interrupt Acknowledge (see datasheet table 5-2. Bus Cycle Definition).

If the device being read is a 32-bit device, such as a 32-bit memory, the system should present 32-bits of data to the Intel386<sup>™</sup> DX CPU even if not all of the Intel386<sup>™</sup> DX CPU byte enables are asserted.

If the device being read is a 16-bit or an 8-bit device, however, pullup resistors can be used to guarantee valid logic levels on the upper data lines, which otherwise would be floating. Note that bus cycles to 16-bit and 8-bit devices typically include several wait states, but always calculate the effects of R-C time constants to ensure the pullups will drive proper logic levels onto the bus within the time required.

12 of 16

July, 1996



### 002. Use of ESP as a Base Register With CALL, PUSH, and POP Instructions

**ISSUE:** This clarifies how ESP behaves with instructions that implicitly reference the stack and explicitly reference another location in memory using ESP as a base register.

Instruction	Explicit Memory Reference uses the ESP value	ESP value used as base
CALL-indirect thru-memory	before decrementing	old ESP
PUSH-from memory	before decrementing	old ESP
POP-to memory	after incrementing	new ESP

This is consistent in that the CALL-indirect-thru-memory and the PUSH-from-memory both use the same ESP value.

Furthermore, the relation between PUSH-from-memory and POP-to-memory is such that it allows the instruction sequence:

PUSH [ESP+n] POP [ESP+n]

to have the desirable property of both instructions referencing the same memory location.

#### 003. Use of Code Breaks to Debug 86/286 Operating Systems

**ISSUE:** The RF bit in the EFLAGS register is cleared by a 16-bit IRET, making it difficult to use the on-chip debug registers to set code breakpoints to debug 16-bit operating systems. Data breakpoints work fine in all cases, and code breakpoints work fine as long as all interrupt handlers are 32-bits and return with 32-bit IRETs or task switches. In 16-bit environments, software debuggers should use the CC (single byte INT 3 instruction) to place software breakpoints in code.

272874-001

July, 1996



#### 004. Use Of ESP In 16-Bit Code With 32-Bit Interrupt Handlers

**ISSUE:** When a 32-bit IRET is used to return to another privilege level, and the old level uses a 4G stack (D/B bit in the segment register = 1), while the new level uses a 64k stack (D/B bit = 0), then only the lower word of ESP is updated. The upper word remains unchanged. This is fine for pure 16-bit code, as well as pure 32-bit code. However, when 32-bit interrupt handlers are present, 16-bit code should avoid any dependence on the upper word of ESP. No changes are necessary in existing 16-bit code, since the only way to access ESP in USE16 segments is through the 32-bit address size prefix.

#### 005. Debug Breakpoints

**ISSUE:** Under certain debug conditions, the fetches of interruptable instructions (ESC and REP + string instructions) are repeated. This problem occurs in the following cases:

Case 1:

Code breakpoint set on the instruction following an interruptable instruction

If the interruptable instruction is an ESC instruction waiting for the BUSY# signal to go inactive (indicating the Intel387 DX math co-processor has completed the previous ESC instruction), the ESC instruction will be repeatedly fetched for the duration of the wait. If the interruptable instruction is a REP + string instruction, the instruction will be fetched once for each iteration indicated by the REP. In both cases, once the interruptable instruction is completed, control will be transferred to the user's debug handler.

Case 2:

Single stepping through ESC instructions

In the case where TF=1 and the BUSY# signal (from a previous ESC instruction) is still active, the single step handler will be entered with the ESC instruction not executed and with the EIP still pointing to the same instruction. On returning from the handler, the same instruction, ESC, will be fetched again. In the event the BUSY# signal is still active after the second fetch of the ESC instruction, the debug handler will be entered once again. After completely executing the ESC instruction, the debug handler will be entered with the EIP pointing to the next instruction.

14 of 16

July, 1996



Neither case alters the result of the execution. The only implication is that users may see the additional fetches. The extra fetches of cases 1 and 2 could show up to users who monitor the address bus during debug or to users who have an ICE part and observe the trace data buffer or the ICE0-3 pins. Users who single step on an instruction mix that contains long ESC instructions could notice multiple entries to the debug handler for the same instruction.

272874-001

July, 1996



#### 006. Breakpoint Exceptions

**ISSUE:** There are four circumstances under which a breakpoint exception will be missed. All code will continue to run properly - all instructions will be executed completely and correctly.

#### Case 1

If a data breakpoint is set to a mem16 operand of a VERR, VERW, LSL, or LAR instruction and the segment with the selector at mem16 is not accessible, the breakpoint will be missed.

#### <u>Case 2</u>

The loop of a REP MOVS instruction consists of two bus cycles, a read cycle and a write cycle. The breakpoint exception will be missed when the data breakpoint is set to the operand of the write cycle of the REP MOVS instruction, and the read cycle of the next iteration of the REP MOVS instruction gets a fault.

#### Case 3

Normally a CODE breakpoint after a MOV or POP to SS instruction will cause a debug exception after the following instruction. However, if the following instruction has an execution time of more than 2 clocks, the debug exception will not occur.

#### Case 4

Normally a DATA breakpoint set to the operand of a MOV to SS instruction will cause a debug exception after the following instruction is executed. However if the execution time of the following instruction is more than 2 clocks, the debug exception will not occur.

#### **DOCUMENTATION CHANGES**

None for this revision of this specification update.

16 of 16

July, 1996